

*Одделен отпечаток*

# ŽIVA ANTIKA ЖИВА АНТИКА ANTIQUITÉ VIVANTE

АННÉЕ 61 ГОД.

*Dragana Grbić*

AUGUSTAN CONQUEST OF THE BALKANS IN THE LIGHT OF  
TRIUMPHAL MONUMENTS



SKOPJE – СКОПЈЕ  
2011

TABLE DES MATIÈRES – СОДРЖИНА

<i>Hade Proeva:</i> Историскиот метод на проф. Ѓјер Карлие, микенолог и историчар на IV век ст.е. ....	5–14
<i>Miroslav Vasilev:</i> The military-political campaign of Sitalces against Perdiccas II and the Chalcidians (431–429 BC) ....	15–38
<i>Krzysztof T. Witzczak:</i> On the Lydian word for ‘kite’ ....	39–42
<i>Миодраг М. Тодоровиќ:</i> И.-е. корен *g'hwel- ‘она што е криво, совиено, косо’ и неговата застапеност во микенските лични имиња ....	43–48
<i>Ratko Duev:</i> Gusla: the origin and beyond ....	49–59
<i>Elwira Kaczyńska:</i> La localizzazione di Metimna cretese ....	61–68
<i>Vojislav Sarakinski:</i> Notes on the Disarray in Thessaly ....	69–82
<i>Sanja Pilipović, Vladimir Petrović:</i> Deux monuments honorifique de Timacum Minus (IMS III/2 23 et 36) ....	83–99
<i>Michał Bzinkowski:</i> Names of the abode of the dead in modern Greek folk songs ....	101–115
<i>Aleksandra Nikoloska:</i> Pagan monotheism and the cult of Zeus Hypsistos ....	117–127
<i>Dragana Grbić:</i> Augustan conquest of the Balkans in the light of triumphal monuments ....	129–139
Comptes rendus bibliographiques/Критика и библиографија ....	141–167
Indices ....	169–174
Sommaire de l'année 61 / Содржина на 61-то годиште ....	175–176

Dragana Grbić  
Institute for Balkan Studies,  
Belgrade

UDC:94(497)'-01/00'

## AUGUSTAN CONQUEST OF THE BALKANS IN THE LIGHT OF TRIUMPHAL MONUMENTS

*Abstract:* The article offers an interpretation of inscriptions from the *Sebasteion* of Aphrodisias in Caria that represent Roman victories in the Balkans during the reign of Augustus. Six inscriptions from sc. ἔθνη series commemorate Danubian-Balkan tribes: Iapodes, Andizetes, Pirustae, Dardani, Dacians, Bessi. These monuments provide not only early epigraphic attestations of single tribes but seem to illuminate, to some extent, the rôle that pacification of the Danubian-Balkan regions played in Augustan propagandistic imagery.

The purpose of the present article is to draw attention to several important epigraphic-iconographic monuments that shed some light on the history of conquests in Illyricum and western Balkans. It is a group of reliefs that belong to the ἔθνη series from the *Sebasteion* complex in the Carian town of Aphrodisias in Asia Minor,<sup>1</sup> built in the period of the Julio-Claudian dynasty.<sup>2</sup> The reliefs, identified thanks to the accompanying inscriptions, portray female statues that personify pacified peoples, islands and provinces. They allegorically represent Roman victories in the age of Augustus.<sup>3</sup> Of thirteen preserved, six inscriptions belong to the Balkan tribes: Iapodes, Andizetes, Pirustae, Dardani, Dacians, and Bessi.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The name “*Sebasteion*” is suggested by the inscription *CIG* 2839, 1.2; R. R. R. Smith, “The Imperial Reliefs from the Sebasteion at Aphrodisias”, *JRS* 77, 1987, pp. 88–138, 88, n. 1. On in Aphrodisias: K. T. Erim, “Aphrodisias. A Guide to the Site and Its Museum”, Istanbul 1997; R. R. R. Smith, C. Ratté, “Archaeological Research at Aphrodisias in Caria, 1997 and 1998”, *AJA* 104/2, 2000, pp. 221–253.

<sup>2</sup> J. Reynolds, “New Evidence for the Imperial Cult in Julio-Claudian Aphrodisias”, *ZPE* 43, 1981, pp. 317–327; R. R. R. Smith, “Simulacra Gentium: The Ethne from the Sebasteion at Aphrodisias”, *JRS* 78, 1988, pp. 50–77.

<sup>3</sup> Reynolds 1981 (n. 2), pp. 236–7; Smith 1988 (n. 2), p. 58.

<sup>4</sup> *Iaph*: J. Reynolds, C. Roueché and G. Bodard, “*Inscriptions of Aphrodisias*”, London 2007, <http://insaph.kcl.ac.uk/iaph2007>. The other represented peoples are: Callaeci (*Iaph* 2007, 9.17), Trumplini (*Iaph* 2007, 9.3), Rhaeti (*Iaph* 2007, 9.2), Egyptians (*Iaph* 2007, 9.5), Judeans (*Iaph* 2007, 9.12) and Arabs (*Iaph* 2007, 9.4), islands: Sicily (*Iaph* 2007, 9.11), Crete (*Iaph* 2007, 9.8), Cyprus (*Iaph* 2007, 9.10).

1. Inscription on a statue base. In the lower register, a relief of a bearded male head. Found at Aphrodisias (*Sebasteion*) in 1988, during the archaeological research. Date: I century AD.

Smith 1988, 55, no. 8; *IAph* 9. 22.

"Εθνους | Ιαπόδων.

2. Upper element of a statue base with inscription. Found in 1982, at Aphrodisias (*Sebasteion*)<sup>5</sup>. Date: I century AD.

Smith 1988, 55, no. 2; *SEG* 35, 1082; *IAph* 9.23.

"Εθνους | Ανδιζήτων.

3. Large fragment of statue base. Inscription field in upper element, below, in the lower register bearded male head flanked by garland. Found in 1982, in *Sebasteion*. Date: I century AD.

Smith 1988, 55, no. 11; *IAph* 9.18.

"Εθνους | Πιροῦστων.

4. Inscription on upper element of false base for statue. Date: I century AD.

Reynolds 1981, 317–27, no. 17; Smith 1988, 55, no. 6 (*SEG* 35, 1082); *SEG* 31, 1981, 926; *BullÉp* 1982, 356.

"Εθνους | Δακῶν.

5. Inscription on upper element of false base for statue. Date: I century AD.

Smith 1988, 50–77, 55, no. 7; *IAph* 9.24.

"Εθνους | Δαρδάνων.

6. Inscription on upper element of base. In lower register a bearded male head decorated with garland tied in a bow above the head-mask. Found in *Sebasteion* in 1980.

Reynolds 1981, 317–27, no. 18; *SEG* 31, 1981, 927; *BullÉp* 1982, 356; *SEG* 35, 1082; Smith 1988, 55, no. 4; *IAph* 9.9.

"Εθνους | Βέσσων.

Although important, the inscriptions did not obtain much consideration in scholarship focusing on Roman Balkans. They seem to elucidate the place of Balkan conquest in Augustan ideology and propagandic and triumphal imagery. Also, they are valuable as early epigraphic evidence for the individual tribes or, in case of some, the earliest evidence. According to R. R. R. Smith, the Aphrodisian ἔθνη series reproduces a monument from Rome.

<sup>5</sup> J. J. Wilkes, "The Danubian and Balkan Provinces", *CAH<sup>2</sup>* X, 1996, [545–585], p. 554.

The source was most likely the elusive ‘*Porticus ad nationes*’<sup>6</sup>, where, according to Servius, statues of all nations (*simulacra gentium*) conquered under Augustus were displayed<sup>7</sup>. This view is confirmed by a recent discovery of another provincial replica of *simulacra gentium*, found in Valencia<sup>8</sup>. The function of Aphrodisian series — to promote the town’s imperial identity<sup>9</sup>, essentially differed from that of the Roman original. Nevertheless, the series transmits the imperial messages of the source: Rome’s dominion over *orbis terrarum* under Augustus’ auspices<sup>10</sup> and the *pax Augusta* through personification allegories that imply ethnic, geographical, political and other connotations<sup>11</sup>. The enumeration of the conquered nations under Augustus’ auspices appears in several triumphal monuments, the aforementioned *Porticus ad nationes*, *forum Augusti*, *Ara pacis*, Map of Agrippa<sup>12</sup>, also in provincial triumphal art (e.g. *Tropaeum Alpium*, Altar of Three Gauls<sup>13</sup>, per-

<sup>6</sup> Smith 1988 (n. 2), p. 75: “Sebasteion series must have been borrowed, and the only real possibility is from Rome.”

<sup>7</sup> Serv. *Ad Aen.* 8. 721: *Porticum enim Augustus fecerat in qua simulacra omnium gentium conflocaverat: quae porticus appellabatur ‘Ad Nationes’*. Plin. *NH* XXXVI 39; Vell. Pat. II 39.2: *praeter Hispanias aliasque gentis, quarum titulis forum eius praenitet*. Cf. Smith 1999, p. 72; P. Zanker, “The Power of Images in the Age of Augustus”, Ann Arbor 1988, pp. 112–14; pp. 194–5; pp. 210–15; C. Nicolet, “Space, Geography, and Politics in the Early Empire”, Ann Arbor 1991, pp. 41–3; S. Carey, “Pliny’s Catalogue of Culture”, Oxford 2007, pp. 66–7; L. Richardson, *A New Topographical Dictionary of Ancient Rome*, Baltimore–London 1992, p. 317, s.v. *Porticus ad Nationes*. Probably those were the same statues that were carried in Augustus’ funeral procession: Tac. *Ann.* I 8.4; Dio Cass. 56. 34. 3. Smith 1988 (n. 2), p. 74. The identification of the portico is problematic and will not be discussed here for the sake of brevity. It is sufficient for our purpose to recognize that the series emulates a source from Rome, dated in the time of Augustus’ reign.

<sup>8</sup> M. Paz de Hoz, “A New Set of *simulacra gentium* Identified by Greek Inscriptions in the So-Called “House of Terpsichore” in Valentia (Spain)”, *ZPE* 163, 2007, pp. 131–146.

<sup>9</sup> Carey 2007 (n. 7), p. 68.

<sup>10</sup> RGDA c. 1: *orbem terrarum imperio populi Rom(ani) subiecit . . . RGDA c. 3: Bella terra et mari civilia externaque toto in orbe terrarum suscepit victorque omnibus veniam potentibus civibus pepercit*.

<sup>11</sup> Nicolet 1991 (n. 7) examined the relationship between geographical knowledge, its representation and ideological. Cf. T. R. Ramsby, B. Severy, “Gender, Sex, and the Domestication of the Empire in Art of the Augustan Age 1”, *Arethusa* 41/1, 2007, p. 48 sqq. for some interesting thoughts on symbolism and connotations of the *simulacra*.

<sup>12</sup> Plin. *NH* III 17; *NH* XXXV 23; 25. J. J. Tierney, “The Map of Agrippa”, *PRIA* 63, Sect. C, 4, 1963, pp. 151–66; K. Sallmann, *Die Geographie des älteren Plinius in ihrem Verhältnis zu Varro. Versuch einer Quellenanalyse*, Berlin – New York, 1971, pp. 91–107, especially n. 38; O. A. W. Dilke, “Greek and Roman Maps”, 1985, pp. 41–53; P. Troussel, “La “carte d’Agrippa”: nouvelle proposition de lecture”, *DHA* 19, 2, 1993, pp. 137–57; Nicolet 1991 (n. 7), pp. 98–99 and n. 13.

<sup>13</sup> Strab. IV 162; D. Fishwick, “The Sixty Gallic Tribes and the Altar of the Three Gauls”, *Historia* 38/1, 1989, pp. 111–12.

haps, for Illyricum in *tropaeum* at Tilurium<sup>14</sup>), and, most importantly, in *Res Gestae Divi Augusti*<sup>15</sup>.

Aphrodisian ἔθνη inscriptions correlate with the data in *Res Gestae*<sup>16</sup>. Personified *gentes* are used as *pars pro toto* to denote Augustan victories<sup>17</sup> as main representatives of the groups. For example, Trumplini<sup>18</sup>, listed first among forty-six tribes in the inscription on *Tropaeum Alpium*<sup>19</sup>, represent the subjugation of Alpine tribes that is also mentioned in *Res Gestae Divi Augusti* (c. 26). Image of *Rhaeti* honours the campaigns of Tiberius and Drusus (16–15 BC)<sup>20</sup>; the relief of *Callaeci*<sup>21</sup> represents Spanish war of Augustus (26–25 BC), and so on.

In interpreting the inscriptions of Danubian-Balkan tribes, R. R. R. Smith found the occurrence of Illyrian tribes baffling<sup>22</sup>: “Some of these might be thought to stand for larger areas or for their province thus the Callaeci for Further Spain or the Iapodes for Illyricum. But against this is the presence of two tribes, not one, of Pannonia (Pirostae and Andizeti)”<sup>23</sup>. However, when interpreted in the light of other sources, the inscriptions can be associated with particular campaigns that mark important stages in the conquest of the Balkans.

## I. Victories in Illyricum

Three reliefs from Aphrodisias personify tribes of the Illyricum, symbolizing three stages of pacification of the province. The

<sup>14</sup> For the dating of *tropaeum*: N. Cambi, „Rimski vojni tropeji u Dalmaciji”, *Adriat* 17, 2011, pp. 131–45, esp. 137.

<sup>15</sup> The only predecessor of the Augustus’ *simulacra gentium* seems to be the series of statues of 14 nations in Pompey’s theatre (Plin. *NH* XXXVI 41; Suet. *Nero* 46). Smith 1988, p. 72.

<sup>16</sup> Smith 1988, p. 59; Nicolet 1991 (n. 7), pp. 45–47.

<sup>17</sup> Reynolds 1981 (n. 2), pp. 317–327; cf. Smith 1988 (n. 2), p. 58.

<sup>18</sup> *IAph* 9.3; Smith 1988, p. 58: ἔθνους Τρουνπείλων[ον]. Cf. Carey 2007 (n. 9), p. 68.

<sup>19</sup> *CIL* V 7817; Plin. *NH* III 136; Strab. VI 1.3; Cass. Dio XLIII 26 (25–29 BC).

<sup>20</sup> *IAph* 2007, 9.2: ἔθνους Παιτῶν Cf. *RGDA* 26; Cass. Dio LIV 22. Cf. Reynolds 1981 (n. 2), pp. 317–27, no. 21; R. Frei-Stolba, “Ein neues Zeugnis zum Alpenfeldzug: Die Trumplini” *Jahresbericht 1993 des Rätischen Museums Chur*, 1994, pp. 64–86.

<sup>21</sup> *IAph* 2007, 9.17: Ἐθνο[ν] Καλλαικώ[ν].

<sup>22</sup> Smith 1988 (n. 2), p. 58.

<sup>23</sup> Cf. N. Cambi, “Kip afrodizijske Afrodite iz Dalmacije”, *OA* 23–4, 1999–2000, pp. 127–32. Cambi has proposed that other tribes (e.g. Delmatae, Pannoni (sic)) must have also been represented, but it does not seem to be an attractive interpretation.

*Iapodes* (no. 1) represent the success of the Octavian's war in Illyricum (35–33 BC). The reliefs of the *Andizetes* (no. 2) and the *Pirustae* (no. 3) respectively stand for the two most important historical episodes in the early history of the Roman province of Illyricum: Tiberius' Pannonian war (12–9 BC) and Dalmatian-Pannonian revolt (AD 6–9).

a) The *Iapodes* were the most important tribe subdued in Octavian's campaign, on which we have Appian's detailed account based on the *Memoires* of Augustus<sup>24</sup>. The generally accepted conclusion that the scopes and the extent of Octavian's conquests in Illyricum in 35–33 BC were modest<sup>25</sup>, and that he did not penetrate into the Save valley beyond Siscia, now appears to be epigraphically documented by this inscription.

b) The Pannonian war of Tiberius (12–9 BC), although merely represented in the classical authors,<sup>26</sup> marks the key stage in the Roman conquest of Illyricum. The significance of Tiberius' achievements in the *bellum Pannonicum* was greater than what one might infer from the ancient authors. The war began under M. Agrippa and M. Vinicius in 14 or 13 BC<sup>27</sup>. After Agrippas' death in 12 BC, operations were taken over by Tiberius, who conquered '*totum Illyricum*' in four years<sup>28</sup>: he had subjugated all the Pannonian tribes in the interior and occupied lands up to the Drave advancing, as Augustus boasts in *Res Gestae*, 'the bounds of Illyricum to the bank of the river Danube' (RGDA c. 30: *Pannoniorum gentes, quas ante me principem populi Romani exercitus nunquam adit, devictas per Ti. Neronem, qui tum erat privignus et legatus meus, imperio populi Romani subieci protulique fines Illyrii ad ripam fluminis Danui*)<sup>29</sup>. The only classical author to mention the individual names of the tribes conquered in the Pannonian war is Suetonius<sup>30</sup>. His statement that *Delmatae* and *Breuci* (as main representatives) were subdued in the *bellum Pannonicum*<sup>31</sup> is now

<sup>24</sup> Cass. Dio LI 21.5.

<sup>25</sup> R. Syme, "Campaigns of Octavian: review of E. Swoboda "Octavian und Illyricum", JRS 24, 1933, pp. 66–71 = R. Syme, *Danubian papers*, Bucharest 1971, pp. 135–44.

<sup>26</sup> Syme 1971 (n. 25), 141–42.

<sup>27</sup> Vell. Pat. II 9: *Subinde bellum Pannonicum, quod inchoatum ab Agrippa, Marco Vinicio, avo tuo consule, magnum atroxque et perquam vicinum imminebat Italiae.*

<sup>28</sup> Suet. Tib. 16.4: *toto Illyrico, quod inter Italiam regnumque Noricum et Thraciam et Macedoniam interque Danuium flumen et sinum maris Hadriatici patet, perdomito et in dicionem redacto.*

<sup>29</sup> Syme 1971 (n. 25), p. 19, pp. 141–142.

<sup>30</sup> Suet. Tib. 9.2: *Pannonicos Breucos et Dalmatas subegit; cf. Syme 1971, p. 141.*

<sup>31</sup> Cass. Dio LIV 31.3. Dio is influenced by the terminology of his own time; he speaks of the Dalmatians (*Δελμάτας*) and Pannonians (*Παννονίους*) in terms of their later provincial affiliation.

sustained by the Aphrodisian inscription (no. 2). The Pannonian tribe of the *Andizetes* dwelt in the vicinity of the Breuci<sup>32</sup>, around the mouth of the Drave, and extended to the Danube. They are mentioned in Pliny's geographical list as the last peregrine community on the course of the river, which may have influenced the choice of the representative: *Draus per Serretes, Serapillos, Iassos, Andizetes, Saus per Colapianos Breucosque*<sup>33</sup>. Of Tiberius' activities in this region, and their due representation on triumphal monuments, also speaks the oronym *Claudius mons*, identified with Papuk in Slavonia<sup>34</sup>. It is named after Tiberius' gentile name<sup>35</sup> before his adoption into the *gens Iulia* (26<sup>th</sup> of June, 4 AD), thus indicating the Pannonian war as the occasion. In the ancient sources it is mentioned twice: by Velleius<sup>36</sup> and by Pliny the Elder<sup>37</sup>. Based on Pliny's account, it has recently been suggested that *Claudius mons* may have been represented on Agrippa's map<sup>38</sup>, which was completed and published by Augustus after Agrippa's death, not before 7 BC<sup>39</sup>. This would mean that the results of the Pannonian war were included in the map by an Augustan redaction. The bounds of the Illyricum given by Pliny<sup>40</sup> and *Dimensuratio provinciarum*<sup>41</sup>, which both drew upon Agrippa's

<sup>32</sup> Ptol. II 15. 2: Ανδίζητες, εἰτα Βρεῦκοι.

<sup>33</sup> Plin. *NH* III 142. The inscription from Aphrodisias is the earliest epigraphic attestation for the tribe; cf. *RMD* 205 (April 5<sup>th</sup> 71 AD) and: P. Weiß, "Zwei vollständige Konstitutionen für die Truppen in Noricum (8. Sept. 79) und Pannonia inferior (27. Sept. 154)", *ZPE* 146, 2004, pp. 247–54 (September 24<sup>th</sup> 154 AD).

<sup>34</sup> E. Köstermann, "Der Pannonicisch-Dalmatinische Krieg 6–9 n. Chr. Der Pannonicisch-Dalmatinische Krieg 6–9 n. Chr.", *Hermes* 81/3, 1953, pp. 345–378, pp. 160–161; A. Mócsy, "Pannónia", *RE Supplb.* IX, 1962, p. 526; G. Alföldy, "Taurisci und Norici", *Historia* 15, 1966, p. 234; A. Domić-Kunić, „Bellum pannonicum (12.–11. pr. kr.) posljednja faza osvajanja južne Panonije“, *VAMZ* 29, 2006, pp. 59–164.

<sup>35</sup> A. v. Premerstein, "Die Anfänge der Provinz Moesien", *JÖAI* 1, 1898, p. 148; Köstermann 1953 (n. 34), p. 360, n.1; Mócsy 1962 (n. 34), p. 526, p. 540; S. Dušanić, "Bassiana and its Territory", *Arch. Iug.* 8, 1967, 68, n. 21.

<sup>36</sup> Vell. Pat. II 112.3.

<sup>37</sup> Plin. *NH* III 148.

<sup>38</sup> Domić-Kunić (n. 34), p. 74.

<sup>39</sup> Plin. *NH* III 17; XXXV 23; 25. Cass. Dio. LV 8.4; cf. J. J. Tierney, "The Map of Agrippa", *PRIA* 63, Sect. C, 4, 1963, 151–66.1963, p. 151; Nicolet 1991 (n. 7), p. 99 and n. 13. Pliny highlights Augustus' co-authorship of the map: *Agrippam quidem in tanta viri diligentia praeterque in hoc opere cura, cum orbem terrarum urbi spectandum propositurus esset, errasse quis credat et cum eo divum Augustum? Is namque complexam eum porticum ex destinatione et commentariis M. Agrippae a sorore eius inchoatum peregit*.

<sup>40</sup> Plin. *NH* III 147.

<sup>41</sup> *Dim. prov.* 18 (P. Schnabel, "Die Weltkarte des Agrippa, als wissenschaftliches Mittelglied zwischen Hipparch und Ptolemaeus", *Philologus* 90, 1935, [405–440], p. 429): *a septentrione flumine Danubio, a meridie mari Adriatico*; Plin. *NH* III 150.

work, indicate that, on the map, the Empire's border was placed on the Danube. It is hardly conceivable that Augustus would have displayed an immense propagandic monument “before the eyes of the Rome”<sup>42</sup>, and not include such a significant event as the extension of Rome's borders to the Danube, the episode that he himself celebrates in the *Res Gestae*, and in other triumphal monuments.

If the *Andizetes* figure here as the easternmost tribe conquered in the Pannonian war (12–9 BC), the inscription may well suggest the place of “*ripa fluminis Danuvi*” to which Augustus was referring in the *Res Gestae*<sup>43</sup>. However, the question remains whether the tribes to the north of the Drave (*Eravisci, Azali*) were incorporated at this time. These tribes were weakened by Dacians under Burebista<sup>44</sup> and their loyalty to the Rome is attested throughout the Principate. An early funerary inscription of an Azalian soldier from Variana in Lower Moesia, recruited in the time of Augustus<sup>45</sup>, has led A. Mócsy to presume that the *Azali* were living in the Save valley at that time<sup>46</sup>, and that they were relocated to the north by Tiberius, but there is no evidence to support that theory<sup>47</sup>. The region was most probably attached to the Empire peacefully at an early date<sup>48</sup>.

c) The inscription of the *Pirustae* (no. 3) symbolically represents the suppression of the Dalmatian-Pannonian revolt in 9 AD. The geographical position of the tribe may have influenced the choice, as well as the political circumstances. According to Velleius<sup>49</sup>, *Daesitiates* and *Pirustae* were the last tribes to be pacified. The latter one experienced more severe repercussions. Their

<sup>42</sup> See n. 39.

<sup>43</sup> RGDA c. 30 (*supra*).

<sup>44</sup> R. Syme “Augustus and South Slav Lands”, *RIEB* 3, 1934 = Syme 1971 (n. 25), p. 19.

<sup>45</sup> AE 1912, p. 187.

<sup>46</sup> A. Mócsy, “*Pannonia and Upper Moesia*”, London–Boston 1974, p. 56.

<sup>47</sup> W. Meid, “*Keltische Personennamen in Pannonien*”, Budapest 2005, p. 42, n. 45. Also, Strabo's early account on Pannonian tribe, uninfluenced by later provincial division also speaks against Mócsy's hypothesis (Strab. VII 5. 3: ἔθνη δ' ἐστὶ τῶν Παννονίων Βρεύκοι καὶ Ανδιζήτοι καὶ Διτίωνες καὶ Πειρουσται καὶ Μαζαιοι καὶ Δαισιτιάται, ὡν Βάτων ἥγεμόν, καὶ ἄλλα ἀσημότερα μικρά). The Azali are nowhere mentioned in the Save valley. It is my intent to explore elsewhere some issues regarding the ethnic composition of the tribe.

<sup>48</sup> Triumphal logic can be grasped through Pliny's account on Alpine tribes. He cites the inscription on *Tropaeum Alpium* in which 46 Alpine tribes are enumerated; later he adds the names of the tribes that were not listed in the *tropaeum* because they were not hostile: (Plin. *NH* III 20: *non sunt adiectae Cotianae civitates XV quae non fuerunt hostiles*).

<sup>49</sup> Vell. Pat. II 115.4.

absence from the official lists of peregrine communities preserved in Pliny's *Naturalis Historia* implies that their *civitas*<sup>50</sup> was abolished after the Great revolt (6–9 AD)<sup>51</sup>. The tribal territory of the former *civitas Pirustarum* was most probably included in the large imperial domain in the eastern Dalmatia<sup>52</sup>.

## II. Transdanubian operations

The operations against Dacians are commemorated in the *Res Gestae* (c. 30): *Citra quod Dacorum transgressus exercitus meis auspiciis victus profligatusque est, et postea trans Danuvium ductus exercitus meus Dacorum gentes imperia populi Romani perferre coegit.* According to Syme, whose work remains essential, it must be Lentulus' expedition across the Danube<sup>53</sup>. Instructive is the passage in Florus that provides some more details: *Daci montibus inhaerent. Inde Cotisonis regis imperio, quotiens concretus gelu Danuvius iunxerat ripas, decurrere solebant et vicina populari. Visum est Caesari Augusto gentem aditu difficillimam summovere. Misso igitur Lentulo ultra ulteriore perpulit ripam; citra praesidia constituta. Sic tum Dacia non victa, sed summota atque dilata est*<sup>54</sup>. The relief of the ξθονος Δακῶν without doubt relates to the same event. The advancement of the Empire to the Danube increased the importance of keeping the Dacians at bay<sup>55</sup>, therefore Transdanubian operations in the time of Augustus (*M. Vinicius, Aelius Catus, Cornelius Lentulus*<sup>56</sup>) must be viewed as interrelated with the events in Illyricum.

<sup>50</sup> After the Third Illyrian War, *Pirustae* had the status of *civitas libera et immunita*. Liv. XLV 26; G. Alföldy, *Bevölkerung und Gesellschaft der römischen Provinz Dalmatien*, Budapest 1965, p. 176.

<sup>51</sup> Alföldy 1965, pp. 57–58; J. J. Wilkes, *Dalmatia*, London 1969, pp. 172–174; *idem*, *Illyrians*, London 1992; 1996, p. 578. It is frequently cited that the *Pirustae* were divided into three smaller units: *Cerauni*, *Scirtari*, and *Siculotae*, but the evidence speaks against this hypothesis.

<sup>52</sup> S. Dušanić, "Organizacija rimskega rudarstva u Noriku, Panoniji, Dalmaciji i Gornjoj Meziji", *Istorijski glasnik* 1, 1980, p. 23, p. 40; S. Loma, "Zur Frage des Municipiums S. und seines Namens", *Mélanges d'histoire et d'épigraphie offerts à Fanoula Papazoglou*, Beograd 1997, pp. 185–230; S. Loma, "Princeps i peregrini incolae u municipiju S(plonistarum?)", ŽAnt 52, 2002, pp. 145–55.

<sup>53</sup> R. Syme, "Lentulus and the Origin of Moesia", *JRS* 24, 1934, 116= Syme 1971 (n. 25), p. 43; R. Syme, "The Early History of Moesia", *Provincial at Rome and Rome and the Balkans* 80 BC–AD 14, Exeter 1999, pp. 211–13.

<sup>54</sup> Flor. II 28.

<sup>55</sup> R. Syme, "Caesar's Designs on Dacia and Parthia", *Provincial ...* (n. 53) 1999, pp. 174–192.

<sup>56</sup> On Augustan victories over Dacians cf. Nic. Damasc. *FGrH* F 125; Flor. II 21; Eutrop. VII 9; Oros. VI 22.1; Cass. Dio LIV. 36. 2. For M. Vinicius: *ILS* 8965; R.

### III. Final conquest of Dardania

The fact that the *Dardani* (n. 5) appear in the series which commemorates the Augustan conquests is of great importance<sup>57</sup>. The final subjugation of the Dardanians falls in the time of Augustus, but the evidence on the date and the circumstances are lacking<sup>58</sup>. Now, the inscription does not provide us with particular details, but it reinforces the earlier conclusions regarding their reduction. After the war of C. Scribonius Curio (75–3 BC)<sup>59</sup>, *Dardani* were in a semi-dependent position. Records of subsequent operations against Dardanians indicate that a large portion of Dardania was free of direct Roman rule<sup>60</sup>. According to Appian, Antonius undertook the campaign against Dardanians in 39–38 BC, led by a recently identified general M. Insteius<sup>61</sup>. The last campaign against the *Dardani* recorded in our sources is that of M. Crassus (29–28 BC)<sup>62</sup>. The year 28 BC is usually taken to be the end of Dardanian independency and most probably the one that was commemorated in the Augustus' series of subdued nations. The *Dardani* figure as a peregrine community of Moesia in Pliny's geographical account<sup>63</sup> which draws upon an early, Augustan source<sup>64</sup>.

Syme, “M. Vinicius (cos. 19)”, *CQ* 27, 1933 = Syme 1971, pp. 26–39; *Aelius Catus*: Strab. VII 3.10; Syme 1971, pp. 53–55, p. 69.

<sup>57</sup> Cf. R. Syme, “Macedonia and Dardania 80–30 BC”, *Provincial* ... 1999 (n. 53), pp. 129–50.

<sup>58</sup> F. Papazoglu, “Srednjobalkanska plemena u predrimsko dobu”, Beograd 1969, p. 143; R. Syme, “Macedonia and Dardania 80–30 BC” (n. 53); V. Petrović, „Dardanija u rimskim itinerarima. Gradovi i naselja“, Belgrade 2007, esp. 21 (on early date of Roman roads in Dardania); V. Petrović, “Pre-Roman and Roman Dardania Historical and Geographical Considerations”, *Balcanica* 37, 2006, pp. 7–23.

<sup>59</sup> Flor. I 39. Papazoglu 1969 (n. 58), pp. 137–40.

<sup>60</sup> Papazoglu 1969 (n. 58), pp. 142–43.

<sup>61</sup> P. M. Nigdelis, “M. Insteius L.F. αυτοκράτορες et la province de Macédoine au début du second triumvirat: à propos d'une inscription inédite d'Europos”, *BCH* 118/1, 1994, pp. 215–228; *SEG* 42, 575. The author identifies M. Insteius of the inscription from Europos (Macedonia, Paionia) with Appian's *Ignotus* (*App. BC* V 75, 320). cf. R. Syme, “The provincial at Rome and the Balkans 80 BC–AD 14”, *Provincial at Rome and the Balkans 80 BC–AD 14*, Exeter 1999 [A. Birley], p. 150, n. 122.

<sup>62</sup> Cass. Dio LI 23.2–27.3; Papazoglu 1969 (n. 58), pp. 142–143; Syme 1999 (n. 57), p. 147.

<sup>63</sup> Plin. *NH* III 149.

<sup>64</sup> Sallmann 1971 (n. 12), p. 101.

#### **IV. Suppression of the Thracians**

The inscription of the Bessi from Aphrodisias (n. 6) and a recently discovered mural inscription from Valencia<sup>65</sup> symbolize successful pacification of the Thracians. Against Bessi Romans led several campaigns<sup>66</sup>, including the one under Augustus' birth-father C. Octavius<sup>67</sup>. Appian mentions the tribe Bessi among the tribes that surrendered to Octavianus in 35 BC<sup>68</sup>, but the evidence is spurious<sup>69</sup>. The *simulacra* of the Bessi should undoubtedly be associated with the *bellum Thracicum* (12–10 BC) of L. Calpurnius Piso (cos. 15)<sup>70</sup>, which was waged simultaneously with Tiberius' operations in Illyricum<sup>71</sup>. Piso crushed the revolt of the Bessi led by Vologaesus, the priest of Dionysus and was rewarded with triumphal honours<sup>72</sup>.

\*\*\*

The subjugation of Illyricum and the Balkan lands and the advancement of the frontier to the Danube were significant achievements of Augustus' Principate. These events were apparently well presented in the Augustan ideological and triumphal imagery, therefore this aspect should be further explored, especially since new evidence has come to light. The study of this type of monuments can help improve the understanding the Augustan conquest of the Balkans.

---

<sup>65</sup> Paz de Hoz (n. 8), p. 132, pp. 135–6: Βέσσων[ι].

<sup>66</sup> M. Lollius 16 BC (Cass. Dio LIV 20.3).

<sup>67</sup> Suet. *Aug.* 3.2.

<sup>68</sup> App. *Ill.* 17.

<sup>69</sup> W. Schmitthenner, “Octavians militärische Unternehmungen in den Jahren 35–33 v. Chr.”, *Historia* 7/2, 1958, p. 206. M. Šašel Kos, *Appian and Illyricum*, Ljubljana 2005, p. 416.

<sup>70</sup> Wilkes 1996 (n. 5), p. 551; R. Syme, “The Early History of Moesia”, *Provincial at Rome and Rome and the Balkans* 80 BC–AD 14, Exeter 1999, p. 204.

<sup>71</sup> Syme 1971 (n. 25), p. 21; Syme 1999 (n. 57), p. 142.

<sup>72</sup> Liv. *Per.* 140; Dio LIV 34, 5–7; Vell. Pat. II 98.; Flor. II 27; Sen. *Ep.* 83.14. Cf. ILS 918; Syme 1971, p. 65; Syme 1999 (n. 70), p. 203.

\* The article results from the project of the Institute for Balkan Studies SASA: *Society, spiritual and material culture and communications in the prehistory and early history of the Balkans* (no. 177012), funded by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Serbia.



Fig. 1. Inscriptions from Aphrodisias,  
photographies: J. Reynolds, C. Roueché and G. Bodard, “*Inscriptions of  
Aphrodisias*”, London 2007, <http://insaph.kcl.ac.uk/iaph2007>:  
a) Iapodes (*IApH* 9.22); b) Andizetes (*IApH* 9.23); c) Pirustae (*IApH* 9.18); d)  
Dacians (*IApH* 9.20); e) Dardanians (*IApH* 9.24); f) Bessi (*IApH* 9.9).