

**Slovak Society of Chemical Engineering
Institute of Chemical and Environmental Engineering
Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava**

PROCEEDINGS

36th International Conference of Slovak Society of Chemical Engineering

**Hotel Hutník
Tatranské Matliare, Slovakia
May 25 – 29, 2009**

Editor: J. Markoš

ISBN 978-80-227-3072-3

Corrosion studies of anodic materials for use in electrochemical power sources

Branimir Jugović^{1*}, Milica Gvozdenović², Jasmina Stevanović³, Tomislav Trišović¹, Branimir Grgur²

¹ITS-Serbian Academy of Science and Arts, Knez Mihailova 35, Serbia

²Faculty of Technology and metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4, Serbia

³ICTM-Institute of electrochemistry, Njegoševa 12, Serbia

*Corresponding author:

E-mail: branimir.jugovic@itn.sanu.ac.rs, Tel./Fax.+381112185263

Abstract

Corrosion behavior of magnesium, aluminum and zinc in 0.2 mol dm⁻³ NH₄Cl; 0.1 mol dm⁻³ NH₄Cl with addition of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Na-citrate and corrosion behavior of zinc in 0.3 mol dm⁻³ NH₄Cl with addition of 0.8 mol dm⁻³ Na-citrate and different concentration of ZnCl₂ were investigated. Corrosion current densities were determined from the mass lost and from potentiodynamic (1 mV s⁻¹) measurements. It was concluded that magnesium and aluminum are not suitable anodic materials for use in primary electrochemical power sources. On the other hand, it was concluded that zinc could be considered as possible anodic material in both primary and secondary electrochemical power sources.

Key words: corrosion, citrate, electrochemical power sources.

1. Introduction

Electrochemical power sources consisted of electroconducting polymers combined to electronegative metals and aqueous based electrolytes [1-4] are likely to accomplish most of the three E criteria (*Energy, Economics and Environment*) elaborated as the determining factors of an electrochemical power system success [5,6]. In such systems electroconducting polymers, mainly polyaniline (PANI) due to their ability of reversible oxidation (doping)/reduction (dedoping) could be used as cathodic materials, while owing to relatively low discharge potentials, it is necessary to use electronegative metals such as: magnesium, aluminum and zinc as anodic materials.

Magnesium, owing to its very low electrode potential seems to be ideal anodic material for primary electrochemical power sources. The use of magnesium anode, in primary electrochemical power source based on PANI cathode, was investigated by Kumar et al. [7]. The main reason why magnesium was not commercialized as anodic material is intense corrosion in aqueous electrolytes.

Aluminum can also be considered as potentially useful anodic material for primary electrochemical power sources [8-10]. Unfortunately, all efforts made to commercially use

aluminum in primary batteries failed, as a consequence of oxide film formation leading to decrease in cell voltage below theoretical value.

Low price and high specific energy made zinc one of the most spread materials for primary and secondary electrochemical power sources. First attempts to develop aqueous based Zn|PANI were reported by Kitani et al. [10]. Trinidad et al. demonstrated similar electrochemical characteristics of such systems comparing to conventional batteries such as moderate energy density, good coulombic efficacy and low self discharge [11]. Up to now Zn | PANI, despite their advantages have not been commercialized for few reasons. Apart from the fact that PANI electrode is degradable at potentials more positive than 0.5 V [13], the main reason is connected to zinc dendrite and solid phase formation during charge/discharge cycle [14], in chloride based electrolytes, affecting coulombic efficacy and cycle life. It was shown that addition of citrate anions could prevent zinc dendrite formation, and that PANI electrode exhibited enhanced discharge characteristics [14,15]. The aim of this paper was to investigate corrosion and polarization behavior of magnesium, aluminum and zinc electrodes in chloride based electrolytes with addition of citrate, as possible anodic materials in primary and secondary electrochemical power sources based on PANI cathode.

2. Experimental

Corrosion behavior of magnesium, aluminum and zinc, was determined from mass lost by measuring the mass difference of metal (99.99%) sheet samples ($S = 14 \text{ cm}^2$) before and after immersion in chloride based electrolytes.

Potentiodynamic polarization curves were recorded, after 1h of immersion, at scan rate $\nu = 1 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ using PAR 263A potentiostat interfaced to PC. Three compartment electrochemical cell was used with aluminum and zinc ($S = 2 \text{ cm}^2$) working electrodes. The counter electrode was platinum wire, while saturated calomel (SCE) electrode was used as reference electrode.

All experiments were performed at room temperature ($22 \pm 2 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) in solutions containing $0.2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$; $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$ with addition of $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$ and $0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$ with addition of $0.8 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$ and different concentration of ZnCl_2 , pH value of all used electrolytes were around 5. Electrolytes were prepared using bidistilled water and p.a. grade chemicals (Aldrich).

Before corrosion and polarization measurements metal samples were first mechanically polished with fine emery papers (2/0, 3/0 and 4/0) and then with polishing alumina of $1 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ (Banner Scientific Ltd.) on polishing cloths (Buehler Ltd.). After mechanical polishing the traces of the polishing alumina were removed in ultrasonic bath during 5 min.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Primary electrochemical power sources

First parameter to be discussed in proper choice of a metal to be used in an electrochemical power source is its corrosion in given electrolyte. Hence, corrosion of magnesium, aluminum and zinc in chloride and chloride/citrate solutions was investigated by measuring the mass difference of metal samples before and after immersion. The mean value of the corrosion current densities, j_{corr} , were determined according to Faradays law. The values of the corrosion potential, E_{corr} , and mean corrosion current density of magnesium, aluminum and zinc in $0.2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$ and $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$ with addition of $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$ are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Corrosion potential and the mean value of the corrosion current density of Mg, Al and Zn in $0.2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$ (chl.) and in $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl} + 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$ (chl./cit.)

| metal | $E_{\text{corr}} / \text{V (SCE)}$ | | $E_{\text{corr}} / \text{V (SHE)}$ | | $j_{\text{corr}} / \text{A cm}^{-2}$ | |
|-------|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | chl. | chl./cit. | chl. | chl./cit. | chl. | chl./cit. |
| Mg | -2.130 | -1.965 | -1.889 | -1.724 | $1.35 \cdot 10^{-2}$ | $1.05 \cdot 10^{-2}$ |
| Al | -0.705 | -0.688 | -0.464 | -0.447 | $1.10 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $1.19 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| Zn | -1.138 | -1.116 | -0.897 | -0.875 | $1.02 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $9.27 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |

As it can be seen in Table 1, in both electrolytes magnesium had grates values of the corrosion current density, than followed zinc and aluminum. The corrosion current density of magnesium and aluminum was practically independent on electrolyte composition. However, the difference in corrosion current densities in chloride and chloride/citrate electrolyte is significant, namely the observed corrosion current density in chloride/citrate electrolyte was for almost one order of magnitude grater than in citrate electrolyte. Based on above presented results, it could be concluded that magnesium, aluminum and zinc were liable to corrosion in investigated electrolytes. Upon immersion of magnesium in both citrate and citrate/chloride electrolyte, intense hydrogen evolution was observed, and after about ten minutes weak ammonia scent was developed. Based on the values of the corrosion potential of magnesium of -1.889 V (SHE) in chloride and -1.724 V (SHE) in chloride/citrate electrolyte, and according to Pourbaix diagrams [16], corrosion reaction of magnesium in chloride and chloride/citrate solutions could be explained by anodic dissolution of magnesium and chathodic hydrogen evolution, while observed ammonia evolution could be explained by reduction of ammonium ion, owing to negative electrode potential of magnesium, according to:



The increase in pH of the solution, as a result of hydrogen and ammonia co evolution, could change corrosion product of magnesium from Mg^{2+} to insoluble $Mg(OH)_2$. Since that intense hydrogen evolution was observed followed by changes in pH of the solution, polarization curves of the magnesium electrode could not be recorded. However, due to very low corrosion potential, magnesium might be considered as potentially good anodic material for activated primary electrochemical power sources.

Aluminum, comparing to magnesium and zinc, had lowest values of the corrosion current density of around $1\mu A\ cm^{-2}$ and highest value of the corrosion potential of around $-0.45\ V\ (SHE)$ in both chloride and chloride/citrate solutions. According to Pourbaix diagram and taking into account $pH=5$, it could be concluded that aluminum was in its passive state (Al_2O_3) at corrosion potential. Owing to the relatively high value of the corrosion potential, cathodic reaction could be oxygen evolution reaction. According to the value of the corrosion potential in investigated electrolytes, aluminum is not challengeable anodic material for primary electrochemical power source based on polyaniline cathode. Potentiodynamic ($1\ mV\ s^{-1}$) anodic polarization curves of aluminum in chloride and chloride/citrate electrolytes were recorded and given in Fig.1.




Fig.1. Anodic polarization curve ($1\ mV\ s^{-1}$) of aluminum in: (○) – $0.2\ mol\ dm^{-3}\ NH_4Cl$ and (□) – $0.1\ mol\ dm^{-3}\ NH_4Cl + 0.1\ mol\ dm^{-3}\ Na-citrate$.

As it could be observed in Fig. 1. polarization curves were practically the same in both chloride and chloride/citrate electrolyte. Two values of anodic Tafel slopes of $\sim 40\ mV\ dek^{-1}$ for chloride, $40\ mV\ dek^{-1}$ for chloride/citrate at low and $\sim 125\ mV\ dek^{-1}$ at high current densities, were obtained. For current densities of practical interest (higher than $1\ mA\ cm^2$), potential of the aluminum electrode in both electrolytes was around $-0.55\ V\ (SCE)$ e.g. $-0.3\ V\ (SHE)$, meaning that aluminum could not be considered as anodic material in electrochemical power source based on PANI cathode.

As mentioned above, although the values of the corrosion potential of zinc in both chloride and chloride/citrate electrolyte were similar ~ -0.88 V (SHE), corrosion current density in chloride/citrate electrolyte was for almost one order of magnitude greater than in citrate electrolyte and it could be probably connected to influence of citrate ions on mechanism of zinc dissolution. According to Pourbaix diagrams [16], it could be concluded that zinc was between stable metallic state and corrosion with formation of Zn^{2+} .

Polarization curves of zinc electrode in citrate and citrate/chloride electrolytes are given in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2. Anodic and cathodic polarization curves (1 mV s^{-1}) of zinc in: (\square) – $0.2 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$ and (\circ) – $0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl} + 0.1 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$.

As it could be seen in Fig.2 anodic polarization curves of zinc in citrate and citrate/chloride electrolytes were characterized by the same Tafel slope of 40 mV dec^{-1} at low current densities, while Tafel slopes of 90 mV dec^{-1} for chloride and 120 mV dec^{-1} for chloride/citrate were obtained for higher current densities, connected to zinc dissolution. Cathodic polarization curves of zinc electrode in both chloride and chloride/citrate electrolytes were characterized by similar values of Tafel slopes of -40 and -120 mV dec^{-1} . Owing to low value of the corrosion potential, cathodic reaction of zinc electrode in both electrolytes, it could be assumed that cathodic reaction was hydrogen evolution. Corrosion current densities of zinc in both electrolytes obtained from the intercept of anodic and cathodic polarization curves were practically the same as those obtained from the mass difference indicating uniform corrosion. As seen in Fig. 3, for current densities of practical interest (higher than 1 mA cm^{-2}), potential of the zinc electrodes were around -1.05 and 1.0 V (SCE), indicating that zinc could be used as anodic material in primary electrochemical power sources based on PANI cathode, such system would have discharge voltage around 1.2 V.

3.2. Secondary electrochemical power sources

Since that addition of zinc ions in electrolyte could create conditions for the use of zinc electrode in secondary electrochemical power source, corrosion of zinc was investigated in solution consisted of $0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl}$ and $0.8 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$ with addition of 0.1; 0.3 and $0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ ZnCl}_2$. The mean value of the corrosion current densities, j_{corr} , were determined according to Faradays law. The values of the open circuit potentials, E_{ocp} , and the mean corrosion current densities of zinc in investigated electrolytes are given in Table 2.

Polarization curves of zinc electrode in citrate/chloride electrolyte with addition of zinc ions are given in Fig. 3., while values of the exchange current densities obtained from the intercept of anodic Tafel slope with open circuit potential are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Open circuit potential, corrosion current density and exchange current density of zinc in $0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl} + 0.8 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$ with addition of 0.1; 0.2 and $0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ ZnCl}_2$.

| $c(\text{Zn}^{2+}) / \text{mol dm}^{-3}$ | $E_{\text{ocp}} / \text{V (SCE)}$ | $j_{\text{corr}} / \text{A cm}^{-2}$ | $j_0 / \text{A cm}^{-2}$ |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0.1 | -1.180 | $0.92 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $4.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.3 | -1.122 | $1.03 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $5.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$ |
| 0.5 | -1.094 | $4.96 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $0.92 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |




Fig.3. Anodic and cathodic polarization curves (1 mV s^{-1}) of zinc in $0.3 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ NH}_4\text{Cl} + 0.8 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ Na-citrate}$ with addition of: (○) - 0.1; (□) - 0.3 and (△) - $0.5 \text{ mol dm}^{-3} \text{ ZnCl}_2$.

As it could be seen in Fig. 3. the open circuit potential decreased upon addition of citrate ions, indicating formation of complexes with zinc ions in the solution. Comparing the values of exchange current densities, obtained from polarization curves, to corrosion current densities, obtained from the mass lost, it could be concluded that zinc deposition and dissolution was dominant reaction and that corrosion of zinc proceeded slower for more than an order of magnitude.

Based on above results it could be concluded that zinc, could be considered as potential anodic material in secondary electrochemical power source based on PANI cathode.

4. Conclusion

It could be concluded that magnesium, aluminum and zinc were liable to corrosion in chloride and chloride/citrate electrolytes investigated electrolytes. Based on the values of the corrosion potential of magnesium of - 1.889 V (SHE) in chloride and -1.724 V (SHE) in chloride/citrate electrolyte, corrosion process of magnesium in chloride and chloride/citrate solutions could be explained by anodic dissolution of magnesium and cathodic hydrogen evolution. Due to very low corrosion potential, magnesium might be considered as potentially good anodic material for activated primary electrochemical power sources.

Aluminum, comparing to magnesium and zinc, had lowest values of the corrosion current density of around $1\mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ and highest value of the corrosion potential of around -0.45 V (SHE) in both chloride and chloride/citrate solutions. It could be concluded that aluminum was in its passive state (Al_2O_3) at corrosion potential. Owing to the relatively high value of the corrosion potential, cathodic reaction could be oxygen evolution reaction. According to the value of the corrosion potential in investigated electrolytes, aluminum is not challengeable anodic material for primary electrochemical power source.

Corrosion potential of zinc in both chloride and chloride/citrate electrolyte were similar ~ - 0.88 V (SHE), corrosion current density in chloride/citrate electrolyte was for almost one order of magnitude greater than in citrate electrolyte and it could be probably connected to influence of citrate ions on mechanism of zinc dissolution. It could be concluded that zinc was between stable metallic state and corrosion with formation of Zn^{2+} . For current densities of practical interest, potential of the zinc electrodes were around -1.05 and 1.0 V (SCE), meaning that zinc could be considered as anodic material in primary electrochemical power sources based on PANI cathode. It was also concluded that zinc could be considered as anodic material in secondary electrochemical power sources, since in zinc containing electrolyte zinc deposition and dissolution was dominant reaction.

Acknowledgment

This work is financially supported by the Ministry of Science, Republic of Serbia, No. 142044.

References:

1. M.S. Rahmanifar, M.F. Mousavi, M. Shamsipur, M. Ghaemia, *J. Power Sources* (2004) 132, 296–301.
2. H. Karami, M.F. Mousavi, M. Shamsipur, *J. Power Sources* (2003) 117, 255–259.

3. M.S. Rahmanifar, M.F. Mousavi, M. Shamsipur, H. Heli, *Synth. Met.*, (2005) 155, 480–484.
4. A. Mirmohseni, R. Solhjo, *Eur. Polym. J.*, (2003) 39, 219–223.
5. P. Rüetschi, *J. Power Source,s* (1993) 42, 1-7.
6. F. Beck, P. Rüetschi, *Electrochim. Acta*, (2000) 45, 2467-2482.
7. G. Kumar, A. Sivashanmugam, N. Muniyandi, S.K. Dhawan, D.C. Trivedi, *Synth. Met.*, (1996) 80, 279-282.
9. Q. Li, N.J. Bjerrum, *J Power Sources*, (2002) 110, 1–10.
10. A. Kitani, M. Kaya, K. Sasaki, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, (1986) 133, 1069-1072.
11. F. Trinidad, M.C. Montemayor, E. Fatas, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, (1991) 138, 3186-3191.
12. N. Gospodinova, L. Terlemeyan, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, (1998) 23, 1443-1484.
13. G. Megnoli, M.M. Musiani, D. Pletcher, S. Valcher, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, (1987) 17, 525-531.
14. B.Z. Jugović, T. Lj. Trišović, J. Stevanović, M. Maksimović, B.N. Grgur, *J. Power Sources*, (2006) 160, 1447–1450.
15. B.Z. Jugović, T.Lj. Trišović, J. Stevanović, M. Maksimović, B.N. Grgur, *Electrochim. Acta*, (2006) 51, 6268–6274.
16. M. Pourbaix, *Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibria in Aquous Solutions*. 2 nd English ed. Houston: NACE and Brussels: CEBEL; 1974.