



ЕТНОГРАФСКИ  
ИНСТИТУТ САНУ

**ГЛАСНИК**

**Етнографског  
института  
САНУ**

**LXIX(3)**

**Београд 2021.**

INSTITUTE OF ETHNOGRAPHY SASA

**BULLETIN****OF THE INSTITUTE OF ETHNOGRAPHY****LXIX****No. 3***Chief Editor:*

Prof. Dragana Radojičić, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA)

*Editor:*

Sanja Zlatanović, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA)

*International editorial board:*

Milica Bakić-Hayden, PhD (University of Pittsburgh), Ana Dragojlović, PhD (University of Melbourne), prof. Peter Finke, PhD (University of Zurich), prof. Karl Kaser, PhD (Karl-Franzens University, Graz), prof. Kjell Magnusson, PhD (University of Uppsala), prof. Marina Martynova, PhD (Institute of Ethnology and Anthropology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow), prof. Elena Marushiakova, PhD (University of St. Andrews), Marek Mikuš, PhD (Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology, Halle), Ivanka Petrova, PhD (Institute of Ethnology and Folklore Studies with Ethnographic Museum, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia), Tatiana Podolinska, PhD (Institute of Ethnology and Social Anthropology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava), Jana Pospíšilova, PhD (Institute of Ethnology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Brno), Ines Prica, PhD (Institute of Ethnology and Folklore Research, Zagreb), Ingrid Slavec Gradišnik, PhD (Institute of Slovenian Ethnology RC SASA, Ljubljana).

*Editorial board:*

Gordana Blagojević, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), Ivan Đorđević, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), prof. Ildiko Erdei, PhD (Faculty of Philosophy, Belgrade), corresponding member of SASA Jelena Jovanović, PhD (Institute of Musicology SASA), Aleksandar Krelj, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), Aleksandra Pavićević, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), Srđan Radović, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), Lada Stevanović, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), academician Gojko Subotić, PhD (Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Belgrade).

*Advisory board:*

Čarna Brković, PhD (University in Goettingen), Jelena Đorđević, PhD (Faculty of Political Sciences, Belgrade, retired professor), prof. Maja Godina Golija, PhD (Institute of Slovenian Ethnology RC SASA, Ljubljana), Renata Jambrešić Kirin, PhD (Institute of Ethnology and Folklore Research, Zagreb), Miroslava Lukić Krstanović, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), Mladena Prelić, PhD (Institute of Ethnography SASA), prof. Ljupčo Risteski, PhD (Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, Skopje), prof. Lidija Vujačić, PhD (Faculty of Philosophy, Nikšić), prof. Bojan Žikić, PhD (Faculty of Philosophy, Belgrade).

*Secretary:*

Sonja Radivojević (Institute of Ethnography SASA)

ЕТНОГРАФСКИ ИНСТИТУТ САНУ

# ГЛАСНИК

ЕТНОГРАФСКОГ ИНСТИТУТА

LXIX

свеска 3

*Главни и одговорни уредник:*

Проф. др Драгана Радојичић (Етнографски институт САНУ)

*Уредник:*

Др Сања Златановић (Етнографски институт САНУ)

*Међународни уређивачки одбор:*

Др Милица Бакић-Хејден (Универзитет у Питсбургу), др Ана Драгојловић (Универзитет у Мелбурну), проф. др Петер Финке (Универзитет у Цириху), проф. др Карл Казер (Карл-Францес Универзитет Грац), проф. др Шел Магнусон (Универзитет у Упсали), проф. др Марина Мартинова (Институт за етнологију и антропологију, Руска академија наука, Москва), проф. др Елена Марушиакова (Универзитет Сент Ендријус), др Марек Микуш (Макс Планк Институт за социјалну антропологију, Хале), др Иванка Петрова (Институт за етнологију и фолклористику са Етнографским музејем, Бугарска академија наука, Софија), др Татиана Подолинска (Институт за етнологију и социјалну антропологију, Словачка академија наука, Братислава), др Јана Поспишилова (Институт за етнологију, Чешка академија наука, Брно), др Инес Прица (Институт за етнологију и фолклористику, Загреб), др Ингрид Славец Градишник (Институт за словеначку етнологију ЗРЦ САЗУ, Љубљана).

*Уређивачки одбор:*

Др Гордана Благојевић (Етнографски институт САНУ), др Иван Ђорђевић (Етнографски институт САНУ), проф. др Илдико Ердеи (Филозофски факултет у Београду), дописни члан САНУ Јелена Јовановић (Музиколошки институт САНУ), др Александар Крел (Етнографски институт САНУ), др Александра Павићевић (Етнографски институт САНУ), др Срђан Радовић (Етнографски институт САНУ), др Лада Стевановић (Етнографски институт САНУ), академик Гојко Суботић (Српска академија наука и уметности, Београд).

*Издавачки савет:*

Др Чарна Брковић (Универзитет у Готингену), др Јелена Ђорђевић (Факултет политичких наука, Београд, професор у пензији), проф. др Маја Година Голија (Институт за словеначку етнологију ЗРЦ САЗУ, Љубљана), др Рената Јамбрешић Кирин (Институт за етнологију и фолклористику, Загреб), др Мирослава Лукић Крстановић (Етнографски институт САНУ), др Младена Прелић (Етнографски институт САНУ), проф. др Љупчо Ристески (Природно-математички факултет, Скопље), проф. др Лидија Вујачић (Филозофски факултет у Никшићу), проф. др Бојан Жикић (Филозофски факултет у Београду).

*Секретар уредништва:*

Соња Радивојевић (Етнографски институт САНУ)

БЕОГРАД 2021.

*Издавач:*  
ЕТНОГРАФСКИ ИНСТИТУТ САНУ  
Кнез Михаилова 36/IV, Београд, тел. 011–2636–804  
e-mail: eisanu@ei.sanu.ac.rs  
Мрежна страница часописа: www.ei.sanu.ac.rs

*Publisher:*  
INSTITUTE OF ETHNOGRAPHY SASA  
Knez Mihailova 36/IV, Belgrade, phone: 011–2636–804  
e-mail: eisanu@ei.sanu.ac.rs  
Journal's web page: www.ei.sanu.ac.rs

*Лектор:*  
Весна Смиљанић Рангелов

*Превод:*  
Аутори текстова

*Дизајн корица:*  
Горан Витановић

*Техничка припрема и штампа:*  
Академска издања, Београд

*Тираж:*  
300 примерака

На свом VII редовном скупу, одржаном 10. новембра 2021. године, чланови Одељења друштвених наука су на основу позитивних рецензија прихватили за штампу Гласник Етнографског института САНУ LXIX свеске 1, 2 и 3.

Штампање публикације финансирано је из средстава  
Министарства просвете, науке и технолошког развоја Републике Србије

Printing of the journal was funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological  
Development of the Republic of Serbia

Гласник Етнографског института САНУ излази три пута годишње и доступан је и индексиран у базама: DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals), ERIH PLUS (European Reference Index for the Humanities), SCIndeks (Српски цитатни индекс), Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, NSD (Norsk samfunnsvitenskapelig datatjeneste AS – Norwegian Social Science Data Service), CEEOL (Central and Eastern European Library).

The Bulletin of the Institute of Ethnography SASA is issued three times a year and can be accessed in: DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals), ERIH PLUS (European Reference Index for the Humanities), SCIndeks (Srpski citatni indeks), Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, NSD (Norsk samfunnsvitenskapelig datatjeneste AS – Norwegian Social Science Data Service), CEEOL (Central and Eastern European Library).

**Садржај**  
**Contents**

**I**

**Научни радови**  
**Scientific Papers**

<b>Тема броја: Култура „нове нормалности“. Локалне и глобалне перспективе</b> (ур. Весна Трифуновић и Иван Ђорђевић)	
<b>Topic of the Issue: Culture of the “New Normal”. Local and Global Perspectives</b> (eds. Vesna Trifunović and Ivan Đorđević)	
<i>Весна Трифуновић, Иван Ђорђевић, Култура „нове нормалности“ – значење и употреба појма</i>	519–530
<i>Vesna Trifunović, Ivan Đorđević, Culture of the “New Normal” – Terminological Meaning(s) and Use(s)</i>	
<i>Stuart Blume, Goodbye Global Health?</i>	531–541
<i>Стјуарт Блум, Збогом, глобално здравље?</i>	
<i>Desislava Pileva, Ivaylo Markov, Counter-Urbanization and “Return” to Rurality? Implications of COVID-19 Pandemic in Bulgaria</i>	543–560
<i>Десислава Пилева, Ивајло Марков, Контраурбанизација и „повратак“ руралном? Импликације пандемије ковида 19 у Бугарској</i>	
<i>Nikola Lero, COVID-19 as a Badiouian Event? A Global Insight Into Theoretical Interrogation of Žižek’s Pandemics</i>	561–574
<i>Никола Леро, Пандемија ковида-19 као бадјуовски Догађај? Глобални увид у теоријско испитивање Жижековог разумевања пандемије</i>	
<i>Ines Prica, SARS-CoV-2: uspon i pad hrvatskog scenarija. Etnografija koronapolitika u hrvatskom upravljanju pandemijskom krizom</i>	575–592
<i>Ines Prica, SARS-CoV-2: Rise and Fall of the Croatian Scenario. An Ethnography of the Corona-Politics in the Croatian Pandemic Crisis Management</i>	
<i>Младен Стајић, Бојан Џикић, Марко Пишев, Шумови у комуникацији: „двострука обавезаност“ током епидемије ковида 19 у Србији</i>	593–608
<i>Mladen Stajić, Bojan Žikić, Marko Pišev, Noises in Communication: “Double Bind” during the Epidemic of COVID-19 in Serbia</i>	

- Zona Zarić, Ivica Mladenović*, The Pandemic: A Breeding Ground for Authoritarian Power Grabs 609–623
- Зона Зарић, Ивица Младеновић*, Пандемија: плодно тло за ауторитарно грабљење моћи
- Биљана Анђелковић, Саша Недељковић*, Епидемија као регулатор интерперсоналних односа: утицај комбиноване наставе на однос између ученика основне школе 625–639
- Biljana Anđelković, Saša Nedeljković*, The Epidemic as a “Regulator” of Interpersonal Relationships: the Impact of “Combined” Schooling on the Relationship among Primary School Students
- Катарина М. Митровић*, Заједно сами: могућности и ограничења зрелог заједничког становања током пандемије ковида 19 641–656
- Katarina M. Mitrović*, Together Alone: Opportunities and Limitations of Mature Coresidency during the COVID-19 Pandemic
- Ana-Marija Vukušić*, „Poludit, puknit i to je to...“ Pandemija koronavirusa i domovi za starije i nemoćne u Hrvatskoj 657–673
- Ana-Marija Vukušić*, “To Go Crazy, to Snap and That's it...” Coronavirus Pandemic and Nursing Homes in Croatia
- Марина Мандић*, Вирусна апокалипса: дискурси ширења и заустављања епидемије на примеру филмских наратива о зомбијима 675–687
- Marina Mandić*, Viral Apocalypse: Discourses of Spreading and Stopping Epidemic through the Example of Zombie Film Narrative

## II

### Научна критика и полемика Discussion and Polemics

- Sonja Radivojević*, Ethnographic Journey into the Heart of Smartphone 689–692
- Бранко Бановић*, Градска свакодневица у прошлости: архивски рад и примарни извори у контексту антрополошких истраживања 692–695
- Александар Крел, Модалитети и контексти етничке идентификације: случај Мостара и Сарајева 696–701
- Мирослава Лукић Крстановић*, Социјализам у истраживачком фокусу: конструкт, факат и перцепција 701–705

### III

#### Прикази Reviews

- Светлана Турковић, Тихомир Р. Ђорђевић, Цигани у Србији.* 707–711  
*Етнoлошка истраживања*
- Сања Златановић, Tatiana Bužeková, Mágia a čarodejníctvo* 711–713  
*v etnografických štúdiách do polovice 20. storočia*

### IV

#### Хроника Chronicle

- Кринка Баковић, Клуб студената етнологије и антропологије* 715–717  
*двадесет година касније: нове генерације и стари изазови*  
*током пандемије*

## Научна критика и полемика

## Discussion and Polemics

### Ethnographic Journey into the *Heart* of Smartphone

Daniel Miller, Laila Abed Rabho, Patrick Awondo, Maya de Vries, Marília Duque, Pauline Garvey, Laura Haapio-Kirk, Charlotte Hawkins, Alfonso Otaegui, Shireen Walton, Xinyuan Wang. 2021. *The Global Smartphone: Beyond a Youth Technology*. London: UCL Press, 295 pp.

A long-awaited book in the world of digital anthropology, *The Global Smartphone: Beyond a Youth Technology*, has arrived on our screens at the beginning of May 2021.<sup>1</sup> Published by UCL Press, this is the first book deriving from a global comparative five-year research project Anthropology of Smartphones and Smart Ageing (ASSA) (2017–2021)<sup>2</sup> carried out by ten researchers led by Daniel Miller, professor of anthropology at University College London (UCL). Through participation and simultaneous 16-month fieldwork among people between the ages of 45 and 70 on ten field sites worldwide (Brazil, Cameroon, Chile, China, Ireland, Italy, Japan, East Jerusalem, Uganda, Trinidad), this research team explored fundamental changes in people’s everyday life, relationships, health, care, and surveillance, or phenomena like “death of distance” or “death of proximity”, associated with the global rise of smartphones.

The book in front of us sums the experience of this long-term fieldwork and offers a synthesis of the field findings and theoretical conclusions derived thereof. The main part consists of nine chapters – *Introduction, What people say about smartphones, The smartphone in context, From apps to everyday life, Perpetual opportunism, Crafting, Age and smartphones, The heart of the smartphone: LINE, WeChat and WhatsApp* and *General and theoretical reflections* – showing the scope and range of the topics covered. Additionally, it holds *Chapter summaries, List of figures, List of abbreviations, List of contributors, Series Foreword* and *Acknowledgements*, and *Appendix - methodology and content, Bibliography*, and *Index*. It should be added that the book takes a multimedia approach and offers innovative technical terms. Apart from illustrations in the form of field photographs, it contains drawings, illustrative comics, screenshot, as well videos that complement the text, bringing us closer to the field findings.

---

<sup>1</sup> The publication of the book was followed by a three-week online course “An Anthropology of Smartphones: Communication, Ageing and Health” with open access. Also, access to this book, as well as other books in the series is open and free.

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/anthropology/assa/> (Accessed September 9, 2021).



Talking about the significance of the book *The Global Smartphone: Beyond a Youth Technology* it is twofold, both methodologically and theoretically. Like in the earlier series of books created within the project on the interplay of people and social media *Why We Post*<sup>3</sup>, in this book Miller and his contributors once again attempt to restore faith in ethnography as a research method that defines social anthropology. It should be noted that ethnography is an open-ended inductive practice of long-term living with people and among the people we research with, with the primary purpose to acquire an understanding of local knowledge, values, and practices, that are crucial for disciplinary identity (Howell 2018, 2). Although its survival was called into question with the emergence of postmodern critique and the Writing Culture debate (Clifford & Marcus 1986) at the end of the last century, the book shows that the time of ethnography has not passed. Instead, ethnography persists as a proud figure of the discipline and the primary method of knowing otherness, from Malinowski, through Geertz, to contemporary fieldwork, be it digital or not.<sup>4</sup>

As Margaret Mead once said: “What people say, what people do, and what they say they do are entirely different things”.<sup>5</sup> Therefore, working in the field and applying the principle based on long-term fieldwork in a physical and digital environment, Miller and his team had the opportunity not only to talk to people but also to observe and spend time with them. As a result, the theoretical concepts in the book are illustrated with individual examples – portraits and narratives of interlocutors from the field – producing a humanising effect. By exploring the use of technology in context and researching “from below”, they had the opportunity to identify contradictions in practices related to digital technologies and what people think about them, as well as the discourses on digital technologies, mainly dictated by moral and political debates. Thanks to these insights, general and theoretical arguments in the book are nuanced and, depending on the field site, they reveal “irregularities”, i.e., exceptions to conclusions and rules, adding a unique value to the insight offered by ethnographic research. Furthermore, the study strikes a perfect balance between those examples and generalisation and abstraction, allowing us to label this type of knowledge creation as *theory from below*.

In addition to the importance of the book and the project itself for the revitalisation of ethnography as a method, by virtue of field insights, Miller and his research team offer a conceptual and theoretical approach to a smartphone. According to them, a smartphone is not only a material object but also the “transportal home” i.e., a place where we live (Miller et al. 2021, 219–220). The meaning of home in this conceptualisation is multifaceted and not merely metaphorical. Instead, the research team shows that the transportal home shares many qualities that are similar to the physical home. Just as we have different rooms for different activities in the house, a smartphone has applications for leisure activities: catching up on the news, doing research, chatting and gossiping, or planning the next meal. Just like we do our homes, we also clean and arrange it. With all this in mind, a smartphone becomes essential

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/why-we-post/> (Accessed September 9, 2021).

<sup>4</sup> <https://anthrodendum.org/2018/02/03/we-have-never-been-digital-anthropologists/> (Accessed September 23, 2021).

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.britishecienceassociation.org/blog/life-online-the-new-authentic> (Accessed September 13, 2021).

especially in the context of life in the contemporary, mobile world, where we are often physically away from home under the pressure of migration, education, or work. Smartphones and the internet, therefore, cure that home fragility through the “death of distance,” while at the same time being capable of producing “death of proximity” (Miller et al. 2021, 219–220) with the physical environment, as the book reveals.

Applying a holistic approach and research based on empathic respect for people's resourcefulness and crafting, by watching, listening, and learning from how people use their devices every day, how they adapt and create content, the researchers went on to conduct even more profound analyses. Following their findings further, we can call applications “the heart of a smartphone” because it is where life is happening. The examples show that for many users in this research applications represent the most important aspect of a smartphone. Applications such as maps, weather forecast, radio, music players, or camera, help people in their daily routine and activities, expand their capacity to know things better and help them become more organised. Simultaneously, a smartphone becomes an instrument for expressing people's devotion to those they care about most – children, parents, partners, and best friends, which is why the authors dubbed it a “relational smartphone” (Miller et al. 2021, 181).

As the examples from the field indisputably show, becoming a domestic space not only for the young but for people of all ages, smartphones have changed our relationship with the world around us. Looking at everyday and intimate use, the authors notice not only that a smartphone connects us to the world and others around us, but also that it has become a part of us. Thus, intimacy with a *machine* is not achieved by trying to look like people, but by complementing human capacities and cognitive functions, which is why it has moved “beyond anthropomorphism” (Miller et al. 2021, 229). The concept is highly reminiscent of Miller's concept of objectification (Miller 2010 (1988)) used to describe the mutual constitution of people and things. In this case, application algorithms learn from our behaviour, while we transform devices by downloading and creating content. Consequently, applications can change the user's behaviour, resulting in an intimate relationship in which the device completes and extends the person, compelling Miller and his contributors to conclude that a smartphone is “smart from below” (Miller et al. 2021, 250).

Even though this study differs from the mainstream discussion and critique of digital technologies, the critical remark is not left out. On the contrary, the authors do not portray smartphones in either good or bad light, instead putting them into context. Thereby, they notice contradictions that inevitably appear in any technology-focused research. The discourse about technology, and thus about smartphones, remains ambivalent at all times, simultaneously highlighting pros and cons, that is, the benefits smartphones bring and the problems they cause. This is, perhaps, most clearly evident in the parts that explore the relationship between care, surveillance, and capitalism. Using specific examples, the authors demonstrate that smartphones are both a surveillance instrument and a care instrument, making care and surveillance two sides of the same coin that cannot be separated. This relationship between surveillance and care could surely be documented before the pandemic, but the outbreak of the COVID-19 during the fieldwork has drawn further attention to these relations. For instance, applications and surveillance systems introduced during the pandemic in China, Japan, or Israel show that smartphones have the potential to rescue from and serve as a precursor to dystopia, raising questions which we will try to answer in the future.

As we can see, *The Global Smartphone: Beyond a youth technology* opens a broader range of issues and topics and although of particular interest for researchers engaged in digital technologies, it can be helpful for anyone dealing with contemporary anthropology, including non-academic readers. The study, conducted in a contemporary context and dealing with both contemporary and timeless disciplinary and human issues, is reminiscent of classical ethnographic studies, which offer guidance on what it means to deal with present-day anthropology.

## References

- Abidin, Crystal. 2018. "We Have Never Been Digital Anthropologist". Blog Anthrodendum. <https://anthrodendum.org/2018/02/03/we-have-never-been-digital-anthropologists/> (Published: February 3, 2018; Accessed September 23, 2021).
- Clifford, James & George E. Marcus, eds. 1986. *Writing Culture: the Poetics and Politics of Ethnography*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Howell, Signe. 2018. "Ethnography." In *The Cambridge Encyclopaedia of Anthropology*, eds. F. Stein, S. Lazar, M. Candea, H. Diemberger, J. Robbins, A. Sanchez & R. Stasch. <https://www.anthroencyclopedia.com/printpdf/262>
- Miller, Daniel. 2010 (1988). *Stuff*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Xinyuan, Wang. 2020. "Life online: the new authentic?" British Science Association. <https://www.britishecienceassociation.org/blog/life-online-the-new-authentic> (Published: August 4, 2020; Accessed September 13, 2021).

Sonja Radivojević

## Градска свакодневица у прошлости: архивски рад и примарни извори у контексту антрополошких истраживања

Драгана Радојичић, *Архив и антропологија сећања*.

Етнографски институт САНУ, Метроон: извори за етнологију и антропологију, књ. 1, Београд 2020, 241 стр.

Теренски рад представља једно од дистинктивних обележја антрополошке методологије и истраживачку активност у којој се генерише највећи број примарних података. Познато је да се антрополози брижљиво и студиозно припремају за теренски рад, да се у највећој мери држе постављеног теоријско-методолошког оквира истраживања, али и да динамика теренског рада учини да се почетни истраживачки планови у мањој или већој мери модификују. Са теренских истраживања враћамо се са материјалом прикупљеним на основу више десетина структурисаних интервјуа, али често се деси да су кључна запажања и важна открића настала управо током оних активности које претходно нису биле планиране (Eriksen 2004, 42–52). Даље, у временима када се етнологија доминантно перципирала као наука о прошлости и развијала као једна од помоћних историјских наука, етнологи су незаобилазно користили архивску грађу у својим истраживањима. Како се наша наука теоријски и методолошки развијала

CIP – Каталогизација у публикацији  
Народна библиотека Србије, Београд

39(05)

**Гласник Етнографског института** = Bulletin of the Institute of Ethnography /  
главни и одговорни уредник Драгана Радојичић. – Књ. 1, бр 1/2 (1952) –  
Београд : Етнографски институт САНУ (Кнез Михаилова 36/IV), 1952 –  
(Београд : Академска издања). – 24 cm

Три пута годишње. – Друго издање на другом медијуму: Гласник  
Етнографског института САНУ (Online) = ISSN 2334-8259  
ISSN 0350-0861 = Гласник Етнографског института  
COBISS. SR-ID 15882242